

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,106	10/18/2005	Bjarte Langeland	P18966 USPC	5937
29078 7590 04/03/2008 CHRISTIAN D. ABEL			EXAMINER	
ONSAGERS AS POSTBORS 6963 ST. OLAVS PLASS NORWAY, N-0130			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NORWAY			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550,106 LANGELAND, BJARTE Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Patrick F. Brinson 3754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/550,106 Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SE 459,267 to Lundin in view of 1,290,206 to Lanzavecchia.

Lundin discloses a pipe of varying length including a first elongated half-pipe joined to an opposing second elongated half pipe, the elongated half pipes being individually wound on spools (7 & 8) in a housing (6) and are progressively connected to each other by drive unit (9) to form pipe of a desired length, as shown in figs. 5 and 6. Each elongated pipe half is formed as a material that is flexible enough to be reeled onto the spool, but becomes a rigid pipe half once it is unreeled, with the first elongated pipe-half comprises a locking means at its edges that are complimentary to a locking means on the opposing rigid pipe section from the second elongated pipe half, such that the complimentary locking means lock the pipe-halves together with their concave sides directed towards each other forming an elongated rigid pipe of desired length, as recited in claim 1. Figs. 5 and 6 disclose the halves including

smooth surfaces whereby rollers (9 and 10) are pressed against the elements and drive the pipe out of the housing (6) with the help of friction, as recited in claim 9. Lundin discloses the recited structure with the exception of forming each pipe half in a series of articulated pipe sections joined together in series at the opposing ends by hinges. The Lanzavecchia reference discloses an elongated element (c) formed from a first half of elongated and articulated elements (B) and that are joined to a second half of elongated and articulated elements (B'). Each of the halves are capable of being wound on spools (14, 14'). These elements are joined to each other by hinge members (3). Lanzavecchia also discloses the outer surface including teeth (7) that engage with the teeth of the drive unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pipe halves of Lundin to have a plurality of articulated members, the members also including teeth to match the teeth of the drive unit, all as suggested by Lanzavecchia in order to form a pipe member of halves of a plurality of members to aid in forming an elongated pipe having improved strength.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant is correct in stating that the wrong set of claims were initially examined. The amendments have been considered and it is still believed that the '267 reference to Lundin discloses the broad concept of forming an elongated pipe from a couple of half sections that are rolled on spools and forced together through a drive unit. Lundin does not disclose the half members being formed from a plurality of hinged, articulated members. The patent to Lanzavecchia discloses that it is old and known to form elongated members with the use of two halves formed from a plurality of articulated, hinged members. It would be obvious to modify the halves of Lundin such that they are formed of articulated members as suggested by Lanzavecchia wherein it is known to form elongated members using reeled articulated members.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin P. Shaver** can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrick F. Brinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson March 29, 2008